

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
5

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 DERRICK YOUNG, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.  
11

Case No. 2:13-CR-00149-KJD-CWH

**ORDER**

12 Before the Court is the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#42) regarding  
13 Defendants' Motions to Suppress (##25, 26). Defendants have been granted multiple extensions of  
14 time to file objections (## 44, 49). Ultimately, Defendants were given until March 11, 2014 to file  
15 any objections to the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#49). No objections have been  
16 filed.

17 The Court's obligation is "to arrive at its own independent conclusion about those portions of  
18 the magistrate's report to which objections are made." United States v. Remsing, 874 F.2d 614, 618  
19 (9th Cir. 1989). Specifically, the Court is to engage in "de novo" review of the findings and  
20 recommendations objected to. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (2012). As no objections have been made,  
21 the Court **HEREBY ADOPTS** and **AFFIRMS** the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation.

22 DATED this 17th day of March 2014.  
23

24 

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Kent J. Dawson  
United States District Judge